

# CONCHO VALLEY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE GUIDEBOOK 2017-2018 TxCDBG PROGRAM

June 8, 2016

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

l.	Introduction	2
II.	CVCOG RRC Approved Actions	3
III.	Summary of CVCOG RRC Objective Scoring Criteria	4
IV.	CVCOG RRC Objective Scoring Criteria	5

#### PART I - INTRODUCTION

# CONCHO VALLEY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE GUIDEBOOK

#### 2017-2018 TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM

The Concho Valley Regional Review Committee (RRC) Guidebook has been prepared in accordance with the TxCDBG Action Plan and the 2017-2018 Regional Review Committee Scoring and Training Guidelines for the Community Development Fund. The Guidebook provides eligible applicants from the Concho Valley Council of Governments (CVCOG) region with the application guidelines necessary to be scored under the Concho Valley RRC scoring criteria.

Any questions regarding the RRC or the Guidebook should be directed in writing after the Concho Valley RRC Guidebook has been published in the website of the Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA) to:

Suzanne Barnard, Director
Office of Rural Affairs
Texas Department of Agriculture
P.O. Box 12847
Austin, Texas 78711

E-mail address: <u>Suzanne.Barnard@TexasAgriculture.gov</u>

TDA website: www.texasagriculture.gov

# PART II CVCOG RRC APPROVED ACTIONS

- 1. The CVCOG RRC held its RRC meeting and Public Hearing on June 8, 2016, to hear public comments on the proposed objective scoring criteria, and to approve the RRC Guidebook, project priorities and the objective scoring criteria.
- 2. The RRC selected the Concho Valley Council of Governments as support staff to develop and disseminate the RRC Guidebook. The RRC selected the Concho Valley Council of Governments as support staff to calculate the RRC scores and provide other administrative RRC support.
- 3. The RRC established the maximum grant amounts for the region:

Single jurisdiction: \$275,000.00Multi-jurisdictions: \$350,000.00

- 4. The RRC did not establish set-asides for housing and non-border colonia projects.
- 5. The RRC modified the scoring criteria with unanimous consent.

# PART III CVCOG RRC SUMMARY OF OBJECTIVE SCORING CRITERIA

TOTAL	. POINTS	S ALLOWED BY C	CONCHO VALLEY RRC:	<u> 180</u>	
TOTAL	. POINTS	20			
TOTAL OVERALL POINTS ALLOWED: 200					
		OF CONCHO VALI VED: (180 MAXIN	LEY REGIONAL REVIEV MUM ALLOWED)	V COMMITT	EE
I.	Projec	t Type/Priority	Category Maxim	um Points =	= <u>108</u>
	•	t Priority Question 1 Question 2 Other Eligible		ed = 90 ed = 18	
II.	II. Project Impact		Category Maxim	um Points =	= <u>72</u>
	a. b. c. d. e.	Third Priority	Maximum Points Maximum Points Maximum Points Maximum Points Maximum Points	Allowed = Allowed = Allowed =	= 72 = 57 = 42 = 27 = 0

## PART IV CVCOG - RRC OBJECTIVE SCORING CRITERIA

#### PROJECT TYPE/PRIORITY Category Maximum Points = 108

<b>-</b>	<u> </u>
a. First Priority: Water and Sewer Projects  Question 1: Type of Project	- 108 Points Maximum
	/Sewer Treatment Plant Improvements, water distribution, yard lines and sewer (excluding septic systems)  90 points
To receive points under Question 2, you must have To receive maximum points under Question 2, To project(s) applied for in the TxCDBG CD application.	CEQ/EPA Agreed Order must address the
Question 2: Priority	
TCEQ or EPA Agreed Order	18 points
No Agreed Order	9 points
b. All Other Eligible Projects: 16 Points Max	kimum 16 points
<b>Methodology:</b> Points will be awarded based upon Priority Projects to score up to or 108 points. All O First Priority activity can only score a maximum of Priority activities with all Other Eligible Project cate based upon percent of TxCDBG construction dollar based on the percentage of the pro-rated funding a	ther Eligible Projects not involving any 16 points. Projects which combine First egories will be scored on a pro-rata ars requested. Points will be awarded
Data Source: TxCDBG Application Table 1 and Table 2 V Information Needed from Applicant to Score:  List Projects Submitted By Type As Stated in Table 1 and T  1 3 3	able 2 (list as many as applicable)
TCEQ/EPA Agreed Order: Copy of Agreed Order:  Date of Agreed Order- Chief Clerk De Docket Number:	ate Stamp:
_ 5556 1 (4	Score =

### **Project Impact**

### Category Maximum Points = <u>72</u>

The Applicant has not received any funding during the previous four funding cycles.				
72 points The Applicant has been funded once (1x) during the previous four funding cycles.				
57 points				
The Applicant has been funded twice (2x) during the previous four funding cycles.  42 points				
The Applicant has been funded three times (3x) during the previous four funding cycles.				
27 points The Applicant has been funded four times (4x) during the previous four funding cycles.				
0 points				
<b>Methodology:</b> The TDA tracking system report will be reviewed and points will be assigned. The total number of times an applicant has been funded during the previous four funding cycles will be counted to determine applicant's eligibility for points under this section.				
Information needed from Applicant to score:				
Applicant received funding in the 2015/2016 Biennial CD Cycle:				
Applicant received funding in the 2013/2014 Biennial CD Cycle:YesNo Applicant received funding in the 2011/2012 Biennial CD Cycle:YesNo				
Applicant received funding in the 2009/2010 Biennial CD Cycle:				
Data Source: TDRA Tracking System Report				
Score =				