

Texas Community Development Block Grant Program 2021-2022 Community Development (CD) Fund Verified Scoring Criteria Guide

Table of Contents

Project Based Criteria – Project Type	3
Project Based Criteria – Project Cost	3
Project Based Criteria – Project Merits	4
Needs/Distress Criteria – Poverty Rate	6
Need/Distress Criteria – Unemployment Rate	7
Need/Distress Criteria – Previous Funding	7
Need/Distress Criteria – Per Capita Income	8
Resource Criteria – Match	g
Resource Criteria – Financial Capacity	.10
Resource Criteria – Cost per Household	.12
Resource Criteria – Cost per Beneficiary	.13
Resource Criteria – Per Capita Property Taxable Value	.14
Default Scoring – 130 Points (Maximum)	.15
Default Project Priorities	.17

Criteria listed in this guide have been pre-approved as "objective and verifiable" and therefore may be considered for selection by the Unified Scoring Committee.

- This Guide is initially published in DRAFT form.
- Stakeholders may submit additional scoring criteria for review by emailing Aubrey-Ann.Gilmore@TexasAgriculture.gov no later than April 15, 2020.
- The final Verified Scoring Criteria Guide will be published no later than April 24, 2020.
- The Unified Scoring Committee must only consider scoring criteria published in the final version of this guide. The Committee may consider modest changes to the methodology used to calculate scores, but may not select criteria that has not generally been verified as objective and verifiable AND published for stakeholder review.

Note: The Guide identifies regions in which the previously established Regional Review Committee adopted the same or similar criteria for the 2019-2020 Community Development Fund.

Project Based Criteria – Project Type

Criteria & Methodology	Region	Data Source
Does the project address a target area of a city or county or is the project providing city-wide or county-wide benefit?	TEXOMA	Application, Table 1
Methodology: CD Application Table 1 Beneficiary Data Form verified by TDA will be reviewed and points will be assigned. If the application addresses both a target area project(s) and city-wide or county-wide project(s), then the points will be assigned based on the largest number of beneficiaries for either the target area project or citywide/county-wide project (beneficiaries for multiple target areas will be combined).		
Does the project address a target area of a city, county, or service-provider area, or is the project providing city-wide, county-wide, or service-areawide benefit (hereafter referred to as 'area wide')?	HOTCOG	Application, Table 1 and Project Map
Methodology: If the application addresses a combination of target area project(s) and 'area wide' project(s), then the points will be assigned based on the largest number of beneficiaries for either the target area project(s) or 'area wide' project(s) (beneficiaries for multiple target areas will be combined.)		
Projects that have scattered beneficiaries throughout the city or county or entire area of a service provider where a specific target area is not identified the project(s) will be considered 'area-wide'. Examples of these types of projects: a septic tank replacement project that will serve beneficiaries throughout the county or housing rehabilitation that will provide benefit to low-to- moderate income persons located throughout a city.		

Project Based Criteria – Project Cost

Criteria & Methodology	Region	Data Source
TxCDBG Funds Requested Equal to or Less than \$XXX,XXX? Methodology: TxCDBG Funding Amount requested will be the sole determinant in awarding points within this category. TxCDBG Funds requested of \$XXX,XXX or less will be given maximum points, all other amounts will be given the lesser points. NOTE: Per the 2017-2018 RRC Guidebook, no question may award points for a dollar threshold of less than \$275,000.	CVCOG LRGVDC MRGDC STDC TEXOMA	Application, Form 424

Project Based Criteria – Project Merits

Criteria & Methodology	Region	Data Source
Has the applicant received a letter of violation from the state?	BVCOG	Copy of
	CVCOG	Violation
Methodology: In order to receive points for this section, the project an applicant is		
seeking to resolve must be for the same type of activity (water or sewer) cited in the	Similar to:	Application,
letter of violation received from a State or Federal agency and must be active.	GCRPC	Table 1
	SETRPC	
For scoring purposes, an applicant will be defined as a city or county OR an applicant		
city or county submitting an application on behalf of a service provider. For this application, a letter of violation from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality		
(TCEQ) includes a Notice of Violation (NOV), a Notice of Enforcement (NOE), and		
Administrative Orders (Agreed and Default).		
The same of the sa		
How many households are served by the project as a percentage of total	CTCOG	Certification
households in the applicant's jurisdiction?		of water or
		sewer
Methodology: Applicant will identify the total number of households within its		connections
jurisdiction/service area:		
		Application,
A. for water/sewer projects, this number will be the number of residential connections to		Table 1
the appropriate utility (for a city, this will also include any connections it has that are		
located outside its city limits, if any);		Census Data,
B. for all other projects, this number will be the total number of households within the		Table P1
applicant's jurisdiction (counties should exclude households that are located within an		
incorporated area or city).		
Applicant will identify the number of households receiving benefit from the proposed		
project. Applicant will then divide the number of households receiving benefit by the		
total number of households within its jurisdiction/service area.		
Points will be assigned based on where the % falls into according to the scoring matrix		
above.		
If an application has multiple proposed projects, each project is to be scored individually		
and the resulting points will be averaged to arrive at an application's final score.		
What is the total number of active water connections provided by the	PBRPC	Certification
application's service provider compared to the median of active water		of active
connections of all service providers in applications of applicants for water		water or
or sewer projects (excluding OSSF)?		sewer
		connections
Methodology: This score is determined by comparing the service provider's number of active water connections to the median of active water connections of all service		
providers in applications of for water or sewer projects (excluding OSSF). The		
calculation considers the service provider's number of active water connections		
compared to the median number of active water connections for all service providers.		
,		

The service provider's number of active water connections is derived from data provided by the service provider that states the number of active water connections and is certified by the Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Executive Officer of the service provider as of X/XX/20XX.

The median is arrived by listing the lowest to the highest number of active water connections of each service provider and identifying the statistical median.

The service provider's number of active water connections percentage of the median for all service providers is determined by dividing the service provider's total number of active water connections by the median number of active connections accounts for all service providers.

Active water connection - a water connection that the service provider bills on regular interval (i.e.: monthly, quarterly, semi-annually, annually or any other regular interval) Service provider - the entity actually providing the water service. (i.e.: City, MUD or other service provider doing business under the laws of Texas)



Needs/Distress Criteria – Poverty Rate

Criteria & Methodology	Region	Data Source
What is the poverty rate of the census geographic area?	AACOG	ACS Data -
	ATCOG	Table 17001
Methodology: Poverty rate may be determined by reviewing the U.S. Census 20XX	CAPCOG	
American Communities Survey (ACS) 5 year estimate, table B17001 for the census	CBCOG	
geographic area. Once this information is obtained for each applicant and the target	ETCOG	
area identified on the census map, the poverty rate for each applicant is calculated by	HOTCOG	
dividing the total number of persons at or below the designated poverty level by the	NCTCOG	
population from which poverty persons was determined. Once this has been	PBRPC	
determined, the average poverty rate of the applicants is determined by dividing the	SPAG	
sum of all poverty rates by the number of applicants.	WCTCOG	
Next, a base is determined by multiplying the average poverty rate by a constant such	1101000	
as 1.25 to represent 125%. The poverty rate is then divided by the base for each	Similar to:	
applicant to determine their poverty factor.	HGAC	
opprosite to determine their persons, indicate	ПОЛС	
Finally, to determine scores the poverty factor for each applicant is multiplied by the		
total maximum allowable points. Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will		
be capped at the maximum. If the target area(s) encompasses more than one census		
geographic area (such as two or more Census Tracts the property rate shall be		
calculated as follows: sum of the total number of persons at or below the designated		
poverty level of all census geographic areas in the target area divided by the sum of the total population from which poverty persons was determined of all census geographic		
areas in the target area.		
What is the beneficiaries' low-to-moderate income percentage for the	RGCOG	Application,
applicant's project as compared to the average low-to-moderate income		Table 1
percentage of all applicants?		1 45.5
posterior de la companya de la compa		
Methodology: Beneficiaries' Low-to-Moderate Income Percentage (LMIP) may be		
determined by reviewing Table I – Benefit to Low and Moderate Income Persons from		
the CDBG application. Once this information is obtained for each applicant, the LMIP for		
each applicant is calculated by dividing the low/mod beneficiaries by the total number of		
beneficiaries. Once this has been determined, the average LMIP of the applicants is		
determined by dividing the sum of all LMIP's by the number of applicants.		
Next, a base is determined by multiplying the average LMIP by a constant such as 1.25		
to represent 125%. The LMIP for each applicant is then divided by the base to		
determine the Factor.		
Finally, to determine scores the Factor for each applicant is multiplied by the total		
maximum allowable points. Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be		
capped at the maximum.		
What is the low-to-moderate income percentage for the beneficiaries	MRGDC	Application,
submitted in the 20XX-20XX CD application?	NORTEX	Table 1
1	STDC	
Methodology: Applicants are required to meet the 51% low/moderate income hopefit for		
Methodology: Applicants are required to meet the 51% low/moderate income benefit for each activity as a threshold requirement. This score is determined by dividing the number of		
Methodology: Applicants are required to meet the 51% low/moderate income benefit for each activity as a threshold requirement. This score is determined by dividing the number of low/moderate income project beneficiaries submitted in the 20XX-20XX CD application by		

Need/Distress Criteria – Unemployment Rate

Criteria & Methodology	Region	Data Source
What is the unemployment rate for the census geographic area based on the	AACOG	TWC Tracer
appropriate county data? (Relative to applicants)	ATCOG	Data
	CAPCOG	
Methodology: The unemployment rate for the census geographic area may be	CBCOG	
determined by reviewing county data from the Tracer section of the Texas Workforce Commission's (TWC) website. Next, the average unemployment rate of the applicants is	ETCOG	
determined by dividing the sum of all unemployment rates by the number of applicants.	HGAC	
determined by dividing the sum of all differentiates by the number of applicants.	NCTCOG	
Next, a base is determined by multiplying the average unemployment rate by a constant	PBRPC	
such as 1.25 to represent 125%. The unemployment rate is then divided by the base for	WCTCOG	
each applicant to determine their unemployment factor.		
	Similar to:	
Finally, to determine scores, the unemployment factor for each applicant is multiplied by	HGAC	
the total maximum allowable points. Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points		
will be capped at the maximum.		

Need/Distress Criteria – Previous Funding

Criteria & Methodology	Region	Data
		Source
Has the applicant been funded in the previous X CD application cycles? (Previous funding in CD Program) Methodology: Data source documentation will be reviewed and points will be	ATCOG, BVCOG CAPCOG, CTCOG CVCOG, ETCOG HGAC, NCTCOG NORTEX, PBRPC	TDA Tracking System Report
assigned.	PRPC, SPAG	rtoport
Multi-jurisdiction applications will be scored based on whether the same	TEXOMA, WCTCOG	
multijurisdictional applications were submitted and/or funded for a fixed period		
What is the total amount of TxCDBG funds during the last X-years TxCDBG CD Cycle? (Previous funding in all TxCDBG Programs)	HOTCOG	TDA Tracking System
Methodology: The TDA Tracking System Report will be reviewed to determine the total amount of TxCDBG funds awarded from TxCDBG funds awarded during the period of the last X-year TxCDBG cycle.		Report
The TDA Tracking System Report will exclude Planning / Capacity Building Fund, , STEP Fund, and Disaster/Urgent Need funding. Projects that include multiple jurisdictions - the applicant with the largest percentage (%) of beneficiaries will be considered the applicant of record.		

Need/Distress Criteria – Per Capita Income

Criteria & Methodology	Region	Data Source
What is the per capita income of the census geographic area?	AACOG ATCOG	ACS Data, Table
Methodology: Per capita income may be determined by reviewing the U.S. Census American Communities Survey (ACS) 5 year estimate. Once this information is obtained for each applicant and the target area identified on the census map, the average annual per capita income is calculated by dividing the sum of all annual per capita incomes by the total number of applicants.	CBCOG ETCOG GCRPC HOTCOG NCTCOG	B19301 or Table B19313
Next, a base is set to provide a constant for the equation. The base is calculated by multiplying the average per capita income by a set number such as .75 to represent 75%. The base is then divided by the annual per capita income for each applicant. This number is referred to as the annual per capita income factor.	PBRPC PRPC WCTCOG Similar to:	
Finally to determine the score for each applicant the annual per capita income factor is multiplied by the total maximum allowable points. Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum.	BVCOG	
What is the per capita income of the project service-area compared to the region? Methodology: Per capita income may be determined by reviewing the U.S. Census 20XX American Communities Survey (ACS) 5 year estimate data for the applicant's project service-area based on census geographic areas (i.e., block groups, city-side, and other boundaries as applicable). Once per capita income has been determined, the applicant's per capita income is compared against the per capita income of the region, based on the 20XX ACS 5 year estimate data. Data for per capita income will be presented to two decimal places and rounded to whole dollars using the following method. Numbers above five will be rounded up and numbers below five will be rounded down.	HGAC	ACS Data, Table B19301
Is the applicant's per capita income below the state average per capita income? Methodology: Per capita income shall be determined by reviewing the 20XX American Community Survey (5-Year Estimate) data for the applicant's jurisdiction population*. Multi-jurisdiction applications shall use the average of the per capita income for the jurisdictions listed in the application. Each applicant shall be compared to the State of Texas's per capita income as per the 20XX American Community Survey, B19301.	STDC	ACS Data, Table B19301

Resource Criteria – Match

Criteria & Methodology	Region	Data Source
What is the applicant's match amount?	AACOG	Application,
	ATCOG	Form 424
Methodology: If the project is for beneficiaries for the entire county, the total population	BVCOG	
of the county is used. If the project is for activities in the unincorporated area of the	CAPCOG	Applicant's
county with a target area of beneficiaries, the population category is based on the	CBCOG	Resolution or
unincorporated residents for the entire county. For county applications addressing	CTCOG	3rd Party
water and sewer improvements in unincorporated areas, the population category is	ETCOG	Commitment
based on the actual number of beneficiaries to be served by the project activities. If the	GCRPC	letter
project serves beneficiaries for applications submitted by cities, the total city population	HGAC	lettel
is used.		O D-1-
	HOTCOG	Census Data
For example, the following scale may be used or modified for use:	LRGVDC	- Table P1
Applicant/s) provide a social to an loss than 1,500 according to most ground ACC data.	MRGDC	
Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 1,500 according to most recent ACS data: Match equal to or greater than 5% of grant request	NCTCOG	
Match at least 4% but less than 5% of grant request	NORTEX	
Match at least 3% but less than 4% of grant request	PRPC	
Match at least 2% but less than 3% of grant request Match less than 2% of grant request	RGCOG	
Materials than 270 or grant request	SETRPC	
Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 3,000 but over 1,500 according to most recent ACS data:	SPAG	
Match equal to or greater than 10% of grant request Match at least 7.5% but less than 10% of grant request	TEXOMA	
Match at least 5% but less than 7.5% of grant request	WCTCOG	
Match at least 2.5% but less than 5% of grant request		
Match less than 2.5% of grant request		
Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 5,000 but over 3,000 according to most recent ACS data:		
Match equal to or greater than 15% of grant request Match at least 11.5% but less than 15% of grant request		
Match at least 7.5% but less than 15% of grant request		
Match at least 3.5% but less than 7.5% of grant request		
Match less than 3.5% of grant request		
Applicant(s) population over 5,000 according to most recent ACS data:		
Match equal to or greater than 20% of grant request Match at least 15% but less than 20% of grant request		
Match at least 10% but less than 20% of grant request Match at least 10% but less than 15% of grant request		
Match at least 5% but less than 10% of grant request		
Match less than 5% of grant request	NODTEV	l attou af
Is the applicant or the service provider leveraging funds from other source?	NORTEX	Letter of Commitment
Source:		from State,
Mathodalagus The commitment letters from a State source. Federal Source or other		· ·
Methodology: The commitment letters from a State source, Federal Source or other outside sources will be reviewed to determine the amount of leverage of funds injected		Federal, or
into the project. In order to receive points under this criterion, the leveraging must be a		other outside
minimum of 1% of the TxCDBG funds requested. For purposes of this criterion,		sources
leveraged funds include equipment, materials, and cash from sources other than the		
requesting entity. To calculate the leverage minimum, the following formula will be used:		
Leveraged Funds/TxCDBG Funds Requested = Percent Leveraged		

Resource Criteria – Financial Capacity

Criteria & Methodology	Region	Data Source
Is the applicant's water or sewer rate equal to or above the average of all	ATCOG	Official public
applicants as related to the project(s) being submitted for TxCDBG	.	record of
funding OR is the applicant's ad valorem tax rate equal to or above the	Similar to:	action of the
average for all applicants as related to the project(s) being submitted for	WCTCOG	appropriate
TxCDBG funding?		governing body
Methodology: Applicant information related to a utility rate will be reviewed and		(examples:
points will be assigned. Applicant must provide the official public record to document		ordinance or
the adopted water rate for 5,000 gallons or sewer rate assuming 5,000 gallons of		resolution)
water as of X/X/20XX.		,
The utility rate of the applicant or the service provider must be associated with the		Application,
project submitted for TxCDBG funding. Example: If the project is water, then the water		Table 1
rates must be at or above the average of all applicants on the specified date, given		
above. However, if the application for TxCDBG funding is for both water and sewer		
projects, then the applicant will receive the maximum points only if both adopted rates		
are above the average of all applicants. The applicants' average utility rate will be calculated by dividing the sum of all utility rates by the total number of applicants for		
the region.		
Applicant information related to the ad valorem tax rate will be reviewed and points		
will be assigned. Applicant must provide the official public record to document the		
adopted tax rate as of X/X/20XX. If the application is for multiple projects (i.e. water or wastewater and another eligible activity) documentation must be submitted to show		
that at least one appropriate rate was equal to or above all applicants' average rate.		
The applicants' average tax rate will be calculated by dividing the sum of all tax rates		
by the total number of applicants for the region.		
For water-related projects, what is the residential utility rate per 5,000	CAPCOG	Certification
gallons of water as set by the service provider, OR, for sewer-related	CAPCOG	from
projects, what is the monthly sewer rate assuming 5,000 gallons? If the	Similar to:	appropriate
project is neither water nor sewer related, what is the ad valorem tax rate	CTCOG	entity
for the same time period? (Relative to all applicants)		,
. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Methodology: To determine the applicant's score, their water, sewer or ad valorem		
tax rate will be compared to the average rate of the applicants applying in the region.		
Utility rates will be compared to like utility rates and tax rates to tax rates. If only one application of a certain project type is submitted, that applicant's score will be the		
average score received by applicant's applying for other project types. If an applicant		
applies for multiple projects, the scores for each activity will be averaged to determine		
the applicant's final score.		
Water, sewer or ad valorem tax rates are to be determined from a certification of the		
water, sewer of ad valorem tax rates are to be determined from a Certification of the water and/or sewer rates from a Certifying Official. Ad valorem tax rates are to be		
determined from a certification from the Chief Appraiser. Once this information is		
obtained for each applicant, the average utility or tax rate is calculated by dividing the		
sum of all rates by the total number of applicants.		

Next, a base is set to provide a constant for the equation. The base is calculated by multiplying the average utility or tax rate by a set number such as 1.25 to represent 125%. The base is then divided by the utility or tax rate for each applicant. This number is referred to as the utility or tax factor. Finally to determine the score for each applicant the utility or tax factor is multiplied by the total maximum allowable points. Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum. Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum.		
What is the per capita bonded indebtedness for the applicant as compared to the average of the per capita bonded indebtedness of all applicants?	PBRPC	Most current comprehensive annual financial report
Methodology: This score is determined by comparing the per capita bonded indebtedness to the average per capita bonded indebtedness of all applicants. The calculation considers the applicant's per capita bonded indebtedness compared to the average per capita bonded indebtedness of all applicants. The applicant's bonded indebtedness is derived from the applicant's most current Comprehensive Annual		Census Data – Table P1
Financial Report issued within the twenty (25) months of the application date. The applicant population is determined from 20XX American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau. The applicant's per capita bonded indebtedness is arrived by dividing the total bonded indebtedness by the applicant's total population. The average per capita bonded indebtedness for all applicants is determined by totaling the bonded indebtedness of all applicants and then dividing by the total population of all		
applicants. The applicant's per capita bonded indebtedness percentage is determined by dividing the applicant's per capita bonded indebtedness by the average per capita bonded indebtedness for all applicants.		
Bonded indebtedness - shall include, in addition to the principle, any interest accrued for this debt.		
Has the applicant or the service provider increased the appropriate utility rate for water or sewer projects or the ad valorem tax rate above the effective tax rate for all other projects in the time period between X/X/20XX and the application deadline?	HGAC HOTCOG NORTEX PRPC SPAG	Evidence of utility or tax rate increase
Methodology: Applicant information related to a utility rate (for water/sewer projects) or ad valorem tax rate above the effective tax rate (for all other projects) will be reviewed and points will be assigned. Applicant must provide the official public record to document that a utility rate or the ad valorem tax rate above the effective tax rate has been raised at least once between X/X/20XX, and the application deadline. Applicant must also provide official public record or a certified statement from the governing body of the applicant to document the utility rate in 20XX for comparison. The utility rate increase by the applicant or the service provider must be associated with the project submitted for TxCDBG funding. Example: If the project is water, then the water rates must have been raised during the applicable period. However, if the applicant of TxCDBG funding is for both water and sewer projects, then the applicant will receive the maximum points if at least one of the rates was increased. If the applicant's request for TxCDBG funding is not for a water or wastewater project, only then will the applicant be evaluated for scoring purposes based on an increase in the ad valorem tax rate above the effective tax rate. Example: If the request for TxCDBG funding is for road improvements, then the documentation related to an	SPAG	Application, Table 1

increase in the ad valorem tax rate above the effective tax rate will need to be submitted by the applicant to receive the maximum points. If the application is for multiple projects that includes a water or wastewater project and another eligible activity, such as street repair or drainage, documentation must be provided that shows one of the appropriate rates was increased between X/X/20XX, and the application deadline, i.e. tax rate or water rates.		
Is the service provider collecting the maximum sales tax allowable by law, if eligible?	CTCOG	Evidence of tax rate
Methodology: This score is determined by reviewing the data source/information submitted by applicant to score and then points will be assigned accordingly. Maximum Sales Tax Allowable By Law: The State of Texas maximum sales tax allowable by law is 8.25% and is the combined state sales and use tax of 6.25% and the local sales and use tax of 2%. For this scoring question, only the local sales and use tax (2% maximum) is under consideration.		
For Multi-jurisdiction applications- the service provider with the largest percentage (%) of beneficiaries will be considered the applicant of record.		

Resource Criteria – Cost per Household

Criteria & Methodology	Region	Data Source
What is the cost per household in TxCDBG dollars requested in the CD	AACOG	Application,
Fund application? (Relative to applicant average)	HGAC	Table 1
Methodology: This score is determined by dividing the total TxCDBG project dollars by the number of households identified in the CD Fund National Objective Data Form. Data for cost per household will be presented to two decimal places and rounded to whole dollars using the following method. Numbers above five will be rounded up and numbers below five will be rounded down.	Similar to: HOTCOG	

Resource Criteria – Cost per Beneficiary

Criteria & Methodology	Region	Data Source
What is the cost per beneficiary?	BVCOG	Application,
	NCTCOG	Table 1
Methodology:	TEXOMA	
$X = \frac{Cost}{}$		
Beneficiaries = Points Awarded (to two decimal places)		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
X = the applicant's expenditure per person		
Cost = the TxCDBG Grant amount in dollars		
Beneficiaries = the amount of people projected to be served by the project	CAPCOG	Application
What is the cost per beneficiary for each applicant's jurisdiction in comparison to the cost per beneficiary for all applicants?	LRGVDC	Application, Table 1
Methodology: This score is determined by comparing the applicant's cost per	SETRPC	Table I
beneficiary (CPB) to the cost per beneficiary for all applicants. The calculation considers	WCTCOG	
the difference in the applicant's cost per beneficiary to the cost per beneficiary for all	WCTCCC	
applicants. The CPB is determined by dividing the total TxCDBG project amount by the	Similar to:	
total number of beneficiaries (Project Amount / Total Benes) covered by the project. The	CTCOG	
percent "% of CPB" is then determined by dividing the applicant's project CPB by the		
sum of the CPB of all applicants (Cost Per Bene / Sum of Cost Per Benes).		
Next, using one (1) as a base value, subtract the % CPB from one to determine the		
Absolute		
Beneficiary Score (ABS CPB = 1 – "% of CPB").		
Finally, the ABS CPB can be used as a final score per applicant if using this scoring criteria as a tie breaker question only; or if this criteria is to be used as a weighted		
scoring criteria, multiply the ABS CPB by the total maximum score for this question to		
determine the final score for each applicant (ABS CPB * Total Points Available for this		
question). Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the		
maximum.		
(D) 1 (A) 1 (T) (A) D 1 (Q) (D) D 1 (Q) D 1 (Q) (D) D 1 (Q) D 1 (Q		
(Project Amount / Total Benes) (Cost Per Bene/Sum of Cost Per Benes) (1- % of CPB) (ABS CPB * Total Points Available)		
What is the cost per low-to-moderate income (LMI) beneficiary for each	HOTCOG	Application,
applicant's jurisdiction in comparison to the average cost per low-to-	1101000	Table 1
moderate income beneficiary for all applicants?	Similar to:	
, and approximate	TEXOMA	
Methodology: This score is determined by comparing the applicant's cost per LMI		
beneficiary (CPLMIB) to the average CPLMIB for all applicants. The calculation		
considers the difference in the applicant's CPLMIB to the average CPLMIB for all		
applicants.		
The applicant's CPLMIB is determined by dividing the total TxCDBG project amount by		
the total number of LMI beneficiaries (Project Amount / Total LMI Benes) covered by the		
project.		
The percent "% of CPLMIB" is then determined by dividing the applicant's project		
CPLMIB by the sum of the CPB of all applicants (CPLMIB / Sum of CPLMIB).		

Next, using one (1) as a base value, subtract the % CPLMIB from one to determine the Absolute Beneficiary Score (ABS CPB = 1 – "% of CPLMIB").	
Finally, multiply the ABS CPB by 30 and subtract 20 to determine the final score for each applicant (ABS CPB * 30 - 20). Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum.	

Resource Criteria – Per Capita Property Taxable Value

Criteria & Methodology	Region	Data Source
What is the per capita property taxable value for the applicant's jurisdiction as compared to the average per capita property taxable value of all applicants for the region?	AACOG CAPCOG CBCOG HGAC	Application, Table 1 Official
Methodology: This score is determined by comparing the applicant's per capita net taxable property value to the average per capita net taxable property value of all applicants. The calculation considers the difference in the applicant's per capita net taxable property value to the average per capita net taxable property value of all applicants. The applicant's net taxable property value is derived from the 20XX net taxable property values as published each County Appraisal District. The applicant's per capita net taxable property value is derived by dividing the net taxable property value by the applicant's population. The average per capita net taxable property value of all applicant's is derived by totaling the net taxable property value of all applicants and then dividing by the total population of all applicants. The applicant's per capita percentage of the regional per capita average is determined by dividing the applicant's per capita net taxable property value by the average region per capita net taxable property value. Next, subtracting the applicant's percentage of the region average from 100% determines the applicant's percentage below the region average. (Cities will be compared to all Cities and Counties will be compared to all Counties) Projects that include multiple jurisdictions – applicant with the largest percentage (%) of beneficiaries will be considered the applicant of record.	PBRPC WCTCOG Similar to: HGAC TEXOMA	public record of action of the appropriate governing body
Does the service provider collect a property tax? Methodology: For multi-jurisdictional applications, all jurisdictions are considered in the scoring process. Example 1: Jurisdiction A and B both collect a property tax. Jurisdiction A and B both collect a tax that is equal to or greater than \$0.10 per one hundred dollars.	CTCOG HGAC TEXOMA	Evidence of property tax rate
What percentage increase has the applicant experienced in its taxable property valuation for 20XX? For multi-jurisdictional projects, the applicant of record shall be the entity with the largest percentage of beneficiaries. Methodology: The applicant's property valuation for 20XX will be compared to the property valuation for 20XX. The 20XX property valuation will be divided by the 20XX property valuation. The percentage derived will be subtracted from 100% to determine the percentage increase and rounded to one decimal point. For multi-jurisdictional applications, the applicant with the largest percentage (%) of beneficiaries will be considered the applicant of record. A certification for the property valuations for 20XX and 20XX from the applicant's Chief Appraiser/Tax Collector shall be provided.	TEXOMA	Application, Table 1 Official public record of action of the appropriate governing body

Default Scoring – 130 Points (Maximum)

Pursuant to 4 TAC §30.50 (f) (3) (B) - In the event the Unified Scoring Committee (USC) fails to approve an objective scoring methodology to the satisfaction of the department consistent with the requirements in the current TxCDBG Action Plan, the Department will establish a scoring methodology using the factors identified below.

Previous Funding – 60 Points (Maximum)

Has the applicant been funded an any of the four previous (4) Community Development Fund application cycles?

Methodology: The TDA tracking system report will be reviewed and points will be assigned. The total number of times an applicant has been funded during the previous four funding cycles will be counted to determine applicant's eligibility for points under this section.

The applicant has not received funding during the previous four funding cycles	60 Points
The applicant has been funded once (1x) during the previous four funding cycles	40 Points
The applicant has been funded twice (2x) during the previous four funding cycles	20 Points
The applicant has been funded three times (3x) during the previous four funding cycles	10 Points
The applicant has been funded four times (4x) during the previous four funding cycles	0 Points

Match - 20 Points (Maximum)

What is the applicant's match amount?

Methodology: If the project is for beneficiaries for the entire county, the total population of the county is used. If the project is for activities in the unincorporated area of the county with a target area for beneficiaries, the population category is based on the number of persons benefitting from the project's activities. If the project serves beneficiaries for applications submitted by cities, the total city population is used.

Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 1,500 according to most recent ACS data:		
Match equal to or greater than 5% of grant request	20 Points	
Match at least 4% but less than 5% of grant request	16 Points	
Match at least 3% but less than 4% of grant request	12 Points	
Match at least 2% but less than 3% of grant request	8 Points	
Match less than 2% of grant request	0 Points	
Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 3,000 but over 1,500 according to most recent ACS data:		
Match equal to or greater than 10% of grant request	20 Points	
Match at least 7.5% but less than 10% of grant request	16 Points	
Match at least 5% but less than 7.5% of grant request	12 Points	
Match at least 2.5% but less than 5% of grant request	8 Points	

Match less than 2.5% of grant request	0 Points
Match less than 2.3 % of grant request	0 FOIRES
Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 5,000 but over 3,000 according to most rece	ent ACS data:
Match equal to or greater than 15% of the grant request	20 Points
Match at least 11.5% but less than 15% of the grant request	16 Points
Match at least 7.5% but less than 11.5% of the grant request	12 Points
Match at least 3.5% but less than 7.5% of the grant request	8 Points
Match less than 3.5% of the grant request	0 Points
Applicant(s) population over 5,000 according to most recent ACS data:	
Match equal to or greater than 20% of the grant request	20 Points
Match at least 15% but less than 20% of the grant request	16 Points
Match at least 10% but less than 15% of the grant request	12 Points
Match at least 5% but less than 10% of the grant request	8 Points
Match less than 5% of the grant request	0 Points
Poverty Rate - 25 Points (Maximum)	U POITIS
Poverty Rate - 25 Points (Maximum)	
What is the poverty rate of the applicant?	
Methodology: Determined by reviewing the most recent U.S. Census American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimate Table B17001 for the applicant.	
 The poverty rate for each applicant is calculated by dividing the total number of persons at or below the designated poverty level by the population from which impoverished persons was determined. Once this has been established, the average poverty rate is determined by dividing the sum of all poverty rate by the number of applicants. A base is calculated by multiplying the average poverty rate by 1.25 The poverty rate of each applicant is then divided by the base to determine each applicant's poverty factor The poverty factor for each applicant is multiplied by the total maximum allowable points. Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum. 	25 Points (Max)
Note: Cities will be compared to all cities, and counties will be compared to all counties	
Unemployment - 25 Points (Maximum)	
What is the applicant's unemployment rate?	
Methodology: Determined by reviewing the most recent U.S. Census American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimate Table DP05 for the applicant.	25 Points (Max)
To determine the score, the applicant's unemployment rate is multiplied by the total maximum allowable points. Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum.	
Total Points	
Total Points	L

Default Project Priorities

Pursuant to 4 TAC §30.50 (f) (3) (A) - For any region for which no project priorities are submitted, applications will be scored according to the priorities identified below:

Methodology: Table 1 will be reviewed to determine the appropriate project type category based on TxCDBG funds requested and points will be assigned.

Projects that include multiple priority levels must be prorated based on the percentage of all TxCDBG dollars. First, subtract TxCDBG funds allocated to administration. Based on the figure calculated, a percentage of the TxCDBG dollars for each activity is calculated. Then, the percentage of the total TxCDBG funds for each activity is then multiplied by the appropriate score and the sum of those calculations determines the score.

Project Types	Points
Water Improvements, Wastewater Improvements, Street	50 Points
Improvements, Flood and Drainage Improvements, and	
Housing Rehabilitation	
All other eligible project types	30 Points