



CONCHO VALLEY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE GUIDEBOOK

2015-2016 TxCDBG PROGRAM

July 31, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Introduction..... 2
- II. CVCOG RRC Approved Actions..... 3
- III. Summary of CVCOG RRC Objective Scoring Criteria 4
- IV. CVCOG RRC Objective Scoring Criteria 5

PART I - INTRODUCTION

CONCHO VALLEY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE GUIDEBOOK

2015-2016 TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM

The Concho Valley Regional Review Committee (RRC) Guidebook has been prepared in accordance with the 2015 TxCDBG Action Plan and the 2015-2016 Regional Review Committee Scoring and Training Guidelines for the Community Development Fund. The Guidebook provides eligible applicants from the Concho Valley Council of Governments (CVCOG) region with the application guidelines necessary to be scored under the Concho Valley RRC scoring criteria.

Any questions regarding the RRC or the Guidebook should be directed in writing after the Concho Valley RRC Guidebook has been published in the website of the Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA) to:

**Suzanne Barnard, Director
Office of Rural Affairs
Texas Department of Agriculture
P.O. Box 12847
Austin, Texas 78711**

e-mail address: Suzanne.Barnard@TexasAgriculture.gov

TDA website: www.texasagriculture.gov

PART II
CVCOG
RRC APPROVED ACTIONS

1. The CVCOG RRC held its RRC meeting and Public Hearing on July 31, 2014, to hear public comments on the proposed objective scoring criteria, and to approve the RRC Guidebook, project priorities and the objective scoring criteria.

2. The RRC selected the Concho Valley Council of Governments as support staff to develop and disseminate the RRC Guidebook. The RRC selected the Concho Valley Council of Governments as support staff to calculate the RRC scores and provide other administrative RRC support.

3. The RRC established the maximum grant amounts for the region:
 - Single jurisdiction: \$275,000.00
 - Multi-jurisdictions: \$350,000.00

4. The RRC did not establish set-asides for housing and non-border colonia projects.

5. The RRC modified the scoring criteria with unanimous consent.

**PART III
CVCOG RRC
SUMMARY OF OBJECTIVE SCORING CRITERIA**

TOTAL POINTS ALLOWED BY CONCHO VALLEY RRC: 600

TOTAL POINTS ALLOWED BY TDA: 60

TOTAL OVERALL POINTS ALLOWED: 660

ITEMIZATION OF CONCHO VALLEY REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE
POINTS ALLOWED: (310 MAXIMUM ALLOWED)

| | | |
|-------------|------------------------------|---|
| I. | Project Type/Priority | Category Maximum Points = <u>300</u> |
| | a. First Priority | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>300</u> |
| | b. All Other Eligible | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>45</u> |
| II. | TCDP Project Cost | Category Maximum Points = <u>100</u> |
| | a. Priority Amount | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>100</u> |
| | b. All Other Amounts | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>0</u> |
| III. | Project Impact | Category Maximum Points = <u>200</u> |
| | a. First Priority | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>200</u> |
| | b. Second Priority | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>150</u> |
| | c. Third Priority | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>100</u> |
| | d. Fourth Priority | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>50</u> |
| | e. All Other | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>0</u> |

**PART IV
CVCOG - RRC OBJECTIVE SCORING CRITERIA**

PROJECT TYPE/PRIORITY Category Maximum Points = 300

a. First Priority: Water and Sewer Projects - 300 Points Maximum
Question 1: Type of Project

Water Supply, Water Storage, Water/Sewer Treatment Plant Improvements, other water/sewer projects including water distribution, yard lines and sewer collection lines and first time service (excluding septic systems)
250 points_____

To receive points under Question 2, you must have received priority points under Question 1. To receive maximum points under Question 2, TCEQ/EPA Agreed Order must address the project(s) applied for in the TxCDBG CD application.

Question 2: Priority

| | |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| TCEQ or EPA Agreed Order | 50 points_____ |
| No Agreed Order | 25 points_____ |

b. All Other Eligible Projects: 45 Points Maximum
45 points_____

Methodology: Points will be awarded based upon type of project. It is possible for First Priority Projects to score up to or 300 points. All Other Eligible Projects not involving any First Priority activity can only score a maximum of 45 points. Projects which combine First Priority activities with all Other Eligible Project categories will be scored on a pro-rata based upon percent of TxCDBG construction dollars requested. Points will be awarded based on the percentage of the pro-rated funding amount within the two categories (a & b).

Data Source: TxCDBG Application Table 1 and Table 2 Verified By TDA

Information Needed from Applicant to Score:

List Projects Submitted By Type As Stated in Table 1 and Table 2 (list as many as applicable)

1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____

TCEQ/EPA Agreed Order: Copy of Agreed Order: _____
 Date of Agreed Order- Chief Clerk Date Stamp: _____
 Docket Number: _____

Score = _____

TCDP Project Cost

Category Maximum Points = 100

Priority Amount:

TxCDBG Funds Requested Equal to or Less than \$200,000 100 points _____

All Other Amounts:

TxCDBG Funds Requested greater than \$200,000 0 points _____

Methodology: TxCDBG Funding Amount requested will be the sole determinant in awarding points within this category. TxCDBG Funds requested of \$200,000 or less will be given maximum points, all other amounts will be given the lesser points.

Information needed from Applicant to score: Amount of TxCDBG Funds Requested: _____

Data Source: SF 424

Score = _____

Project Impact

Category Maximum Points = 200

The Applicant has not received any funding during the previous four funding cycles. 200 points _____

The Applicant has been funded once (1x) during the previous four funding cycles. 150 points _____

The Applicant has been funded twice (2x) during the previous four funding cycles. 100 points _____

The Applicant has been funded three times (3x) during the previous four funding cycles. 50 points _____

The Applicant has been funded four times (4x) during the previous four funding cycles. 0 points _____

Methodology: The TDA tracking system report will be reviewed and points will be assigned. The total number of times an applicant has been funded during the previous four funding cycles will be counted to determine applicant’s eligibility for points under this section.

Information needed from Applicant to score:

Applicant received funding in the 2013/2014 Biennial CD Cycle: ___ Yes ___ No

Applicant received funding in the 2011/2012 Biennial CD Cycle: ___ Yes ___ No

Applicant received funding in the 2009/2010 Biennial CD Cycle: ___ Yes ___ No

Applicant received funding in the 2007/2008 Biennial CD Cycle: ___ Yes ___ No

Data Source: TDRA Tracking System Report

Score = _____