



**CONCHO VALLEY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS**

**REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE GUIDEBOOK**

**2013-2014 TxCDBG PROGRAM**

**April 4, 2012**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Introduction..... 2

II. CVCOG RRC Approved Actions..... 3

III. Summary of CVCOG RRC Objective Scoring Criteria ..... 4

IV. CVCOG RRC Objective Scoring Criteria ..... 5

## **PART I - INTRODUCTION**

### **CONCHO VALLEY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE GUIDEBOOK**

#### **2013-2014 TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM**

The Concho Valley Regional Review Committee (RRC) Guidebook has been prepared in accordance with the 2013 TxCDBG Action Plan and the 2013-2014 Regional Review Committee Scoring and Training Guidelines for the Community Development Fund. The Guidebook provides eligible applicants from the Concho Valley Council of Governments (CVCOG) region with the application guidelines necessary to be scored under the Concho Valley RRC scoring criteria.

Any questions regarding the RRC or the Guidebook should be directed in writing after the Concho Valley RRC Guidebook has been published to the website of the Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA) to:

**Becky Dempsey, Director  
Office of Rural Affairs  
Texas Department of Agriculture  
P.O. Box 12847  
Austin, Texas 78711**

**e-mail address: [Becky.Dempsey@TexasAgriculture.gov](mailto:Becky.Dempsey@TexasAgriculture.gov)  
TDA website: [texasagriculture.gov/](http://texasagriculture.gov/)**

**PART II**  
**CVCOG**  
**RRC APPROVED ACTIONS**

1. The CVCOG RRC held its RRC meeting and Public Hearing on April 4<sup>th</sup>, 2012 to hear public comments on the proposed objective scoring criteria, and to approve the RRC Guidebook, project priorities and the objective scoring criteria.
  
2. The RRC selected the Concho Valley Council of Governments as support staff to develop and disseminate the RRC Guidebook. The RRC selected the Concho Valley Council of Governments as support staff to calculate the RRC scores and provide other administrative RRC support.
  
3. The RRC established the maximum grant amounts for the region:
  - Single jurisdiction: \$275,000.00
  - Multi-jurisdictions: \$350,000.00
  
4. The RRC did not establish set-asides for housing and non-border colonia projects.
  
5. The RRC modified the scoring criteria with unanimous consent.

**PART III  
CVCOG RRC  
SUMMARY OF OBJECTIVE SCORING CRITERIA**

TOTAL POINTS ALLOWED BY CONCHO VALLEY RRC: 400

TOTAL POINTS ALLOWED BY TDA: 40

**TOTAL OVERALL POINTS ALLOWED: 440**

ITEMIZATION OF CONCHO VALLEY REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE  
POINTS ALLOWED: (400 MAXIMUM ALLOWED)

- |             |                              |                                             |
|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| <b>I.</b>   | <b>Project Type/Priority</b> | <b>Category Maximum Points = <u>100</u></b> |
|             | a. First Priority            | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>100</u>         |
|             | b. Second Priority           | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>75</u>          |
|             | c. All Other Eligible        | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>45</u>          |
| <b>II.</b>  | <b>TCDP Project Cost</b>     | <b>Category Maximum Points = <u>100</u></b> |
|             | a. Priority Amount           | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>100</u>         |
|             | b. All Other Amounts         | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>0</u>           |
| <b>III.</b> | <b>Project Impact</b>        | <b>Category Maximum Points = <u>200</u></b> |
|             | a. First Priority            | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>200</u>         |
|             | b. Second Priority           | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>150</u>         |
|             | c. Third Priority            | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>100</u>         |
|             | d. Fourth Priority           | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>50</u>          |
|             | d. All Other                 | Maximum Points Allowed = <u>0</u>           |



**TCDP Project Cost**

**Category Maximum Points = 100**

**Priority Amount:**

TxCDBG Funds Requested Equal to or Less than \$200,000 100 points \_\_\_\_\_

**All Other Amounts:**

TxCDBG Funds Requested greater than \$200,000 0 points \_\_\_\_\_

**Methodology:** TxCDBG Funding Amount requested will be the sole determinant in awarding points within this category. TxCDBG Funds requested of \$200,000 or less will be given maximum points, all other amounts will be given the lesser points.

**Information needed from Applicant to score:** Amount of TxCDBG Funds Requested: \_\_\_\_\_

**Data Source:** SF 424

**Score = \_\_\_\_\_**

**Project Impact**

**Category Maximum Points = 200**

The Applicant has not received any funding during the previous four funding cycles 200 points \_\_\_\_\_

The Applicant has been funded once (1x) during the previous four funding cycles 150 points \_\_\_\_\_

The Applicant has been funded twice (2x) during the previous four funding cycles 100 points \_\_\_\_\_

The Applicant has been funded three times (3x) during the previous four funding cycles 50 points \_\_\_\_\_

The Applicant has been funded four times (4x) or more during the previous four funding cycles 0 points \_\_\_\_\_

**Methodology:** The TDRA tracking system report will be reviewed and points will be assigned. The total number of times applicant has been funded during the previous four funding cycles will be counted to determine applicants eligibility for points under this section.

**Information needed from Applicant to score:**

Applicant received funding in the 2011/2012 Biennial CD Cycle: \_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No

Applicant received funding in the 2009/2010 Biennial CD Cycle: \_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No

Applicant received funding in the 2007/2008 Biennial CD Cycle: \_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No

Applicant received funding in the 2005/2006 Biennial CD Cycle: \_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No

**Data Source:** TDRA Tracking System Report

**Score = \_\_\_\_\_**